

**To:** rlaw@demaximis.com[]  
**Cc:** kirchnersf@cdm.com;tsangc@cdm.com;egarland@hydroqual.com;Elizabeth.A.Buckrucker@usace.army.mil[];  
sangc@cdm.com;egarland@hydroqual.com;Elizabeth.A.Buckrucker@usace.army.mil[];  
garland@hydroqual.com;Elizabeth.A.Buckrucker@usace.army.mil[];  
lizabeth.A.Buckrucker@usace.army.mil[]  
**Bcc:** []  
**From:** CN=Stephanie Vaughn/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Mon 12/17/2012 4:09:41 PM  
**Subject:** SSP Additional locations....

Hi Rob,

In preparation for our call tomorrow, can you please help with the following:

1. We want to verify that we're interpreting what you showed us on Friday correctly -- the purple stars represent the locations where you propose collecting additional samples based on the list I sent you (i.e., the CPG's response to our recommendations), and the green dots are additional locations you propose sampling?
2. Would it be possible for you to send us screen shots with your current proposal of the areas we didn't discuss on Friday? This will help make the call tomorrow more productive.
3. We're curious about the relative depths of the utility crossings and the authorized navigation channel depths. Do you know if the utility crossings were allowed to be constructed at depths shallower than the authorized channel depths?

Thanks,  
Stephanie